MATH 3060 Assignment 3 solution

Chan Ki Fung

October 19, 2022

1. We will show that the complement of H is open, see question 3b [here](https://www.math.cuhk.edu.hk/course_builder/2122/math3060/Solution%204.pdf) for a direct proof that H is closed. Let $x \notin H$, then we can find $i \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\delta \coloneqq |x_i| - 1/i > 0$. Then for any $y \in B_\delta(x)$, we have

$$
|y_i| \ge |x_i| - |y_i - x_i| \ge |x_i| - d_2(x, y) > |x_i| - \delta = 1/i.
$$

Hence $B_\delta(x) \subset H^c$. This shows H^c is open and thus H is closed.

2. In this question, we will use the following result of lecture 8. For a closed subset A of a metric space X, and $x \in X$. Denote

$$
d(x, A) = \inf_{y \in A} d(x, y)
$$

This is a continuous function in x and $d(x, A) = 0$ if and only if $x \in A$.

(a) Define

$$
f(x) \coloneqq 1 - \frac{d(x, A)}{d(x_0, A)}
$$

(b) Define

$$
g(x) \coloneqq \frac{d(x, A)}{d(x, A) + d(x, B)}
$$

3. (a) The closure is $[0,1]$: For one thing, $[0,1]$ is a closed set containing $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(\frac{1}{k+1},\frac{1}{k})$; for another, let $x \in [0,1]$, and $\delta > 0$, we want to show $B_{\delta}(x)$ has nonempty intersection with some $(\frac{1}{k+1}, \frac{1}{k})$. If $x \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k+1}, \frac{1}{k}\right)$, then we are done, otherwise $x = 1/k$ or $x = 0$. If $x = 0$, then $\left(\frac{1}{k+1}, \frac{1}{k}\right) \subset B_{\delta}(x)$ for $k > 1/\delta$, if $x = 1/k$, then $B_{\delta(x)}$ has nonempty intersection with $\left(\frac{1}{k+1}, \frac{1}{k}\right)$.

Since $\cup_{k=1}^{\infty}(\frac{1}{k+1},\frac{1}{k})$ is open, it is the interior of itself. Boundary is equal to the intersection of the closure and the complement of interior:

$$
\partial\left(\cup_{k=1}^\infty(\frac{1}{k+1},\frac{1}{k})\right)=[0,1]\cap\left(\cup_{k=1}^\infty(\frac{1}{k+1},\frac{1}{k})\right)^c=\{0\}\cup\{\frac{1}{k},k\in\mathbb{N}\}.
$$

- (b) The closure is the whole \mathbb{R}^2 , the interior is $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus (\{(0,0)\} \cup \{(1/n,0)$: $n \in \mathbb{N}$). The boundary is $\{(0,0)\} \cup \{(1/n,0) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\.$ Reasons are similar to part (a).
- (c) Let $F = \{f \in C[0,1] : f(0) = f(1)\}.$ F is closed, because if $f_n \in F$, and $f_n \to f$, we have

$$
|f(0) - f(1)| \le |f(0) - f_n(0)| + |f(1) - f_n(1)| + |f_n(0) - f_n(1)|
$$

\n
$$
\le 2d_{\infty}(f_n, f) \to 0,
$$

i.e. $f \in F$. F has no interior point, for if $f \in F$, and $\epsilon > 0$, then $d_{\infty}(f, f +$ $\epsilon x^2/2 = \epsilon/2 < \epsilon$, while $f(0) + \epsilon \cdot 0^2/2 \neq f(1) + \epsilon \cdot 1^2/2$. The boundary is $F \cap \emptyset^c = F$.

4. We prove the statement by induction, the case $n = 2$ is the usual Hölder's inequality. For the induction step, note

$$
\int |f_1 f_2 \cdots f_n| \leq ||f_1||_{p_1} ||f_2 \cdots f_n||_q
$$

where $1/p_1 + 1/q = 1$. It suffices to show $||f_2 \cdots f_n||_q \le ||f_2||_{p_2} \cdots ||f_n||_{p_n}$. This is equivalent to

$$
\int |f_2^q \cdots f_n^q| \le ||f_2||_{p_2}^q \cdots ||f_n||_{p_n}^q
$$

$$
\iff \int |f_2^q \cdots f_n^q| \le ||f_2^q||_{\frac{p_2}{q}} \cdots ||f_n^q||_{\frac{p_n}{q}}.
$$

The last line follows from the induction hypothesis, because

$$
\frac{1}{p_2/q} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_n/q} = 1
$$